Gen. Serial No. 321

No. 748

Name of Petitioner. Honappa & others

Village. Sanganahalli

Taluk. Gubbi

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 6-9-94

Date of Receipt. 6-9-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Six.

Abstract.

Appeal against the Deputy Com
order No: 9, dated 9-7-94, which is as fo

As it is stated that the Ryo
ants have built huts & are living
your village, it is ~~good~~ but just to a
their share in the Gulbani of their
village for their cattle also.

The petitioners urge -

1. That there are about 80 houses,
cluding theirs in the village.
2. That there are about 800 heads of
in the village & so the Gomal is ve
insufficient for the cattle there

Submitted to Council
for final
disposal

28/1899

as contin Petn
are only in the
which they are,
along with the
contents, entitled
to share in the
Gulbani Cess
with which - Petn
Complaint is partly
allowed

24/10/94

I would call for a report
Ryo as to say that K Ranga Shetty
800 cattle while they hold only
lands assessed at 80 Rs - whereas
the large extent of land
new Cattle - Enquiry
report to allow all the 800
the grazing - I would
hear the Ryots

P.T.O.

4/12/12

of the neighbouring Iroogumasa village where they own great extent of lands + numerous cattle.

That they with the intention of gaining a share in the Hulbani of their (Pattas) village, with the help of the Thambhoy + Patail nominally acquired some lands of about 8 Rs assessment + began to dispute for the share of the Hulbani, but it was decided on 25th Nov: 1892, that they were not entitled to Hulbani share.

That, in 1893, the Respondents instigated by the Thambhoy + Patail, built some temporary huts in their lands in (Pattas) village, again complained to the Amildar that they should get share in the Hulbani for which the Amildar, without enquiry, ordered that their cattle also should be allowed to graze in the village Hulbani.

That they then appealed to the Deputy Commissioner, who, without enquiry, endorsed on the petition, that the Amildar was right in allowing share.

That the Respondents are permanent residents of Iroogumasa village they had only built temporary huts in their (Pattas) village which they are now removed.

8

order

Disposed
11. Dy Comr for
report. He
requested to state
on the principle
Hulbanne lands
are divided or use
by the Ryots, &
it is done with refe
to the area or assess
of lands they hold
Patterons' complaint
last Counter Pattersons
but a small extent of
Patta lands in the Vill
while their cattle are
out of the prop
If so, their complain
deserves consideration

12/12/94

Butler
SKRao

Petn F. 59-99.

G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

L. R. 45-87 (1-5)

1894
~~1892~~
( L. R. )

File No. 163-94
Serial Nos 1-9

*Later references.*

L. R. 100-98 (1-6

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.*

**Part B for**

---

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Honnappa & ~~other~~ others of Sanganahalli in the Gubbi Taluk re: the apportionment of the Hulbavini rights among them & the other village.

---

8(9) To Honnappa & others of Sanganahalli, Gubbi Tk.

No. 748 dt 2-3-97.

Intimates that the decision of the D.C is abiding by the of petitioners and their opponents, won't agree to have their apportioned shares, Hulle bavini will be put to auction and will be given away to the highest bidder

Inserted

WD 1899—GPR—16,226—22-7-21

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

189

No. 748

Name of Petitioner.

Village.

Taluk.

District.

Date of Arzi. 6-9-94

Date of Receipt. 6-9-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

(3)

(5

Nebbiosos
Yonnappa & others
of Sangameshli
Gokak Taluk

Counter Petrs

Gollar Esa &
others

Respdents on 6-9-94 Petrs
presented a petn urging that
C. Petrs who were residents of
an adjoining village and
acquired lands in their
village having an assessment
of Rs 8 with a view to acquire
a right in the Kulkarni
and complaining that
the order of the Dy Comm
~~the~~ permitting them to
participate in the Kulkarni
was illegal as the Cattle which
they have - said to be 800 -
was out of all proportion to
the small extent of land
acquired by them

As the Dy Commr
denied that C. Petrs had
~~bought~~ lands in Sangameshli
& were residing there the
Member Election drafted an
order upholding the decision

6)

The Dy Commr Mr. Blandel Row passed the following order (with the approval of Mr Thumbs Letter) :- 13-12-94

"The Dy Commr is requested to state on what principle Kulbanni lands are divided or used by the ryots and whether it is done with reference to the area or assessment of lands they hold. Petrs' Complaint is that Counter petitioners hold but a small extent of Petta lands in the village while their cattle are using all pasturage. Petrs Complaint deserves Consideration."

The Dy Commr reported that the Kulbanni lands were not divided among ryots but that they were all allowed to graze their cattle on the Kulbanni lands, paying a Reefer, according to the number of Cattle each owned, a definite sum fixed as rent. The proceedings & Evidence on the question were :- no 557 of 21 Dec 94

(1) 6023-31/128 of 29 January 95 — pumps Statement

(2) Order in Appeal 2626 of 1887-88

(3) 2360-95 of 16 July 1894

that Respondents are [illegible]

2. That the inhabitants of the Ungunde Village
have their great extent of lands
& numerous cattle.

4. That they with the intention of gaining
a share in the Hulbanni of their (Ungunde)
village, with the help of the Chamblang & Patail,
nominally acquired some lands of
about 8 Rs. assessment & began to
dispute for the Khurcha of the Hulbanni;
but it was decided on 8th Nov. 1892,
that they were not entitled to Hulbanni share.
That, in 1894, the Respondents, instigated
by the Chamblang & Patail, built some
temporary huts in their lands in front
village, again complained to the
Assistant that they should get the
Hulbanni for which the Asst. decided that prior

order

---

Dismissed with
costs for
report. Accused
required to state
on what principle
Hulbanni Lands
are divided or used
by the Ryots & whether
it is done with reference
to the area or assessment
of Lands they hold.
Petitioners' complaint is
to be tried before the

8. That the Respondents own about
800 heads of cattle & sheep.

9. That the whole of the Hulbani is
insufficient to the Respondents'
cattle alone if allowed.

10. That Inoguruni village lies
double the extent of Hulbani
than Gangavalli village.

11. That if plaint is allowed to the
Respondents, the Hulbani will be
very much insufficient for their cattle

12. That, even if the Hulbani is found
more than what is necessary
for their cattle, the Govt. can only
auction the same, but cannot
allow luck to contractors.

13. That, in a similar case, in the
dispute of the Hulbani between the
ryots of Kadlavarunahalli & Kodlapura
villages, Gubbi Taluk, there is the
Asst. Order no: 7948/203, dated
29th December 1892, to the effect
that the extra Hulbani should be
sold publicly & granted to the
highest bidder

Pray

Ordered therefore that Counsel
be heard in the matter, that the
Deputy Samuel Sandys Esqr be
Counsel, & that the Resolution
may not be a Precedent Such for their
share of the Millions in this Bill

Mr. Sandys

11-2-95

Rec'd Common Law
Action Cattle Trespass Amdt
~~Action~~

(1) As to the Magistrate's appeal to the Supreme Court of Victoria by case (as to the regard by Rule 2 of the Rules of 9 Feb. 1884)

(2) If not, whether it was a good trial at Sale Circuit Court on the 2nd Feb. 1894 for the Magistrate could not be told to grant their costs in the Supreme Court of the Kuandagan Cases stated

14 Aug 95

Rec'd Common
Further argt. Cases
Returned in my pocket

(1) That Defendants did not appear to prosecute Summons returnable 6th Feb

81

To Rent of Pebo due
554 head of Cattle
and 30 Acres and 15 Perches

which Pebo has 385 head
of Cattle and 297 Acres
and 34 Perches of Land

The Ag Commissioners
not after many Meetings
agreeing the foregoing
Made by Gall & the Ag Cattle
Inspector & the Lands Valuer
by them - The Ag Commissioners
Report that if the proposed
Pebo would be entitled to 569-0-5 8/12 # of grass
of Halbrani Land & Land
& pay £17-11-3 as
rent

which C. Pebo would be
entitled to 5-8-5-6 7/12
of Halbrani Lands keeping
a rent of £1-13 therefor.
4 Quarters

(The total Extent
of Halbrani Lands is 328-9
as Inspected
as Uncultivated Land 327-30
Sold for pasturage
as Road for Pasturage is 1-8-8) Valued by the Commissioners
£1-15-4

Extract from the Petition Register for the year
1894-95

(General Serial No. 32.)

1894-95

No. 748.

Name of Petitioner.

Village.

Taluk.

District.

Date of Arzi. 6-9-94

Date of Receipt. 6-9-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 7

ABSTRACT.

Order

Petitioner's complaint is ground-
less and is therefore rejected

(Sd) J. R. A.J

24-10-94

Checked
YR

Asst

14- [illegible]

15- [illegible]

Two Rupees Stamp affixed

L. R. 163-94-1 (10)

No. 748 R.
DATE 6-9-94

Petition
6/9/94
A.C. 7/9/94

L.R. 163-94

[illegible] No. 32.]

189

No. 1432

Name of Petitioner. Ranganna k

Village. Kachinakulky

Taluk. Sirsi

District. Sirsi

Date of Arzi. 2-11-94.

Date of Receipt. 22-11-94.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Office Stamp fo 1 8 8

ABSTRACT.

Requesting the furnished with an authenticated copy of order passed on the appeal petition no 2626 of 1889 on 4th July 1889 regarding Kulkarni ship of the village

[illegible] References.

15/11/94.

23/11/94.

[illegible]

28-11-94

Grant

28/11

Copy delivered to applicant 29/12/94.

Amount due is 4-11-3

Board

2.00

5/12/94

ಸಂಗೀತ ಪಾಠ ಪ್ರವಚನಗಳು - ಈ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗೀತ ಪಾಠ ಪ್ರವಚನಗಳು ನಡೆಯುತ್ತವೆ.

4. 1-2 ವರ್ಷದಿಂದ ಮೂಲೆಗೆ - ಸಂಗೀತದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿ ಸಂಗೀತದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂಲೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಪ್ರವೀಣರಾಗಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. 7 ಕ್ಕೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಂದಿ ಸಂಗೀತ ಪಾಠಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಂಗೀತದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂಲೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಂಗೀತ ಪಾಠಕ್ಕ

(17)

6-9-94

ORE

6-9-94

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

ಸನ್ 1894 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರ್

ವಾದಿ.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಮೇಸ್ಟರ್- ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್- ಯುಲ್, ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ರವರಿಗೆ.

ಬರಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು,ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈನಲ್ ಹೊಂದಷಕ್ಕೆ ಬಗ್ಯ, ಮತ್ತು ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲ ತಕ್ಕ ತಿಕರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆ ತೆಗದುಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹಾ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ನನಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು ನೇ
ಸನ್ 1893 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೇ. ರುಜು.

6/9/94

ಈಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿಇದ್ದಾರೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ತುಲಸೀತೋಟದಲ್ಲಿರುವ, ಪ - ರಾಘವಾಚಾರ್ಲುಗಾಗಿ ವಾಗೀಶ್ವರೀಮುದ್ರಾಕ್ಷರಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮುದ್ರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿತು.

6 — ...

7 — ...

8 — ...

9 — ...

10 — ...

11 — ...

12 — ...

13 — ...

(19

(2) Spare copies are all exhausted.
~~but~~ it is printed in monthly Volume

(3) Spare copy putup

(3) Complete file sent to Vernacular Records for sending to Petition branch with previous papers, on 27-11-94 with petition No. 1482 of 22-11-94 from Ranganadi.

Lg
22/1/95

Gen. Serial No. 31.] (5)

1894 8372

Sep: 385

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 21st December 1894

Despatched 22nd do

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 557.
No.

No offl. memo No Pet 978
of the 10th December 1894

Reporting on the petition of Honnappa and others of Sangana halli in the Gubbi Taluk.

Pet 4a
24/12

General Records.

Please put up spare Copies of

(1) G.O 2360-2 / 55 dated 16 July 1884

(2) G.O 6023-31 / 128 dated 29 January 85

(3) Govt Decision in Rev. appeal Case 2626 of 1887-88 (with file)

referred to in para 3 of the letter. LG 12/1

L.R. 163-94

Committee B. Council

28/1

20/
No 557
Enc: 1/file

Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkúr District
Tumkúr 21st December 1894

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No Pat 978 of the 10th December 1894 received yesterday I beg to state as follows:—

2. In the petition sent with the above memo, the petitioners, who are the ryots of the Sangana halli village in the Chelur Hobli of the Gubbi Taluk, complain that some persons, who are not the permanent residents of their village but who reside in it temporary huts put up by them on the lands taken up by them therein, have been permitted to

20/
No 557
Enc: 1/file

Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkúr District
Tumkúr 21st December 1894

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No Pat 978 of the 10th December 1894 received yesterday I beg to state as follows:—

2. In the petition sent with the above memo, the petitioners, who are the ryots of the Sangana halli village in the Chelur Hobli of the Gubbi Taluk, complain that some persons, who are not the permanent residents of their village but who reside in it temporary huts put up by them on the lands taken up by them therein, have been permitted to

ಗ ಸಿಲಿಂಡರ್‌ಗಳು

ಗ. ಪಿಸ್ಟನ್‌ಗಳು

ಗ ಪಿಸ್ಟನ್‌ರಿಂಗ್‌ಗಳು

ಗ ಕ್ರಾಸ್‌ಹೆಡ್‌ಗಳು

ಗ ಸಂಪರ್ಕಿಸುವ ರಾಡ್‌ಗಳು

ಗ ಕ್ರ್ಯಾಂಕ್‌ಶಾಫ್ಟ್

ಗ ಫ್ಲೈವೀಲ್‌ಗಳು

ಗ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಬೇರಿಂಗ್‌ಗಳು

ಗ ಸಿಲಿಂಡರ್ ಲೈನರ್‌ಗಳು

ಗ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಸಾಧನಗಳು

ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

6/9/94

B

in that village.

4. Enquiry has been made in the official memorandum reply as to the principle on which the Hulbanni lands are divided or used by the ryots and if the division is effected with reference to the area or apex-ment of the lands they hold. In reference thereto, I beg to state that the Hulbanni lands are not divided but they are all alike open to all the persons entitled to graze thereon. Only the Hulbanni amount, which is an invariable figure settled once for all, is divided among the several persons exercising the right of grazing according to the number

of cattle owned by them. This distri-
-bution is made every yr... as the
number of cattle varies.

5. The petition under reply is here-
-with returned with all its enclosures.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

(16



1432

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. N. 1432 R
DATE 22-11-94

2-11-94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 248

dated 6-9-94 received at the

Dewan's Office from Ramappa and others of Sanguvalli, Gubbi Taluk appealing against the Inamdar Deputy Commr's order No 989 July 1894 allowing a share in the Kulkarni of Sanguvalli to commr. petitioners Gollara Era & Pura

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commr Tumkur for report. He is requested to state on what principle Kulkarni lands are divisible or indivisible, the rights and whether it is done with reference to the area or assessment of lands they hold. Petitioners complain that comm'r petitioners hold but a small extent of Kulkarni lands in the village, while their cattle are entitled to proportion of cs, their complaint deserves consideration

2 The petition & enclosures should be returned

By Order,

(Rejected Consent order) 13/12

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 3-12-1894

19

M.G.C.P. Bangalore.—3-5-94.—1,000.

2 enjoy the privilege of grazing their cattle in the waste lands of the village paying their share of the Talwana account. The petitioners state that the said persons are the residents of another village and that they have, for the purpose of partici-pating in the privilege of grazing in their village, taken up small extents of lands and nominally put up thereon temporary huts.

3. The persons objected to by the petitioners have been permitted to participate in the Talwana rights in the village of the petitioners because they hold lands therein and have abodes thereon for their residence. The authorities in support of this procedure are

(28 3

Read letter from the Dy
Comr of Dumbarton dy 21st
Decr 1894 No 557.

—

Dy Comr requests report,
whether all villagers in the case
under notice have agreed
to the apportionment of rent
according to number of Cattle
owned by each. If
they have agreed
whether they should be compelled
to agree to such an arrangement.
The rules issued on Govt Res dy 16th
July 1884 No 2360 lay down that
"The apportionment of the total
amount payable by the village

There is no question, but if they have not agreed it has to be decided

29

among the undivided
members thereof as a
matter of private
agreement between
themselves xxx
& if they cannot agree
among themselves as
to the apportionment
of this amount, or if at
the ensuing enquiry
there arise disputes
regarding such amount
or its apportionment
then, the right of the Grazing
in the village concerned
shall be sold on the spot.
The above is the best
method of ~~doing~~ valuing
the villagers & settle
their disputes among
themselves, but
at the same time

27

the following:

(a) Government order no. 2860-9 of 55 the 16th July, 1884 in which it has been stated that the grazing of a village should be given to the inhabitants of that village and the Hulhaanee account should be apportioned among the various land holders and other residents of the village.

(b) Government order no. 6223-3/128 of the 29th January, 1885 which says that the grazing of a village is to be given only to the cattle of the village.

(c) Government order in Revenue appeal case no: 2625 of 86-88 in which it has been directed that all the kyots hanging Kandayan to a village should be permitted to participate in the Hulhaanee, irrespective of that village although they may not reside.

L.R. 163-94-6

(31) 33

Gen. Serial No. 31.] (7) 13741

1894-95 Pet 561

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated } 14th April 1895
Despatched }
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 888
No.

Government order No. 12589 Pet 1129
dated the 11th February 1895.

Reporting on the petition of Honnappa and others of Sanganhally in the Gubbi taluk - in the apportionment of the kulbanni right among them and the others villagers.

Re arrangement made by the Dy Com is correct & is in accordance with the rules on the subject. If the facts are communicated to the Government application made on the ground of C Pet- cattle & therefore object to so in partnership with them the only course left is to take charge & give to both impartially. There is no reason why Sathanad not share the benefit arising from the presence of a large number of agricultural cattle in the village

2/11/96

Pet Bn.

Pet 4/11
To Pet 4/11

Drafted 1/4/96
Rec'd 17/4

334

No 888

Deputy Commissioner's office

Tumkur District

Dated Devarayadroog Hills

14th April 1895.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Sir

With reference to Government order No 12589/Pet 1129 dated the 11th February 1895. I beg to submit as follows.-

2. The petitioners have not agreed to participate the hulbanni right with the counter-petitioners.

3. It is true that the counter-petitioners own a large numbers of cattle, their numbers being 554 against 385 owned by the petitioners. The counter-petitioners hold 30 Acres and 15 goontas of Kandayam lands in the village, while the petitioners hold 297 Acres and 34 goontas.

4 Now in regard to the proposal

of

L.R. 163-94-5

(25)

(1) Petn. No. 748 dated 6-9-94 from Hanmappa and other of Sangankalli, Taluk Jalna, appealing against the order of the Dy. Comm. allowing a share in the Pulbaun of the village to Gulab Bin and others

Re. appointment of Patel

Read:

(2) letter No. 5570 of 21st December 1894 from the Dy. Commissioner of Aurangabad, forwarding on the ~~petition~~ appeal of Hanmappa and others of Sangankalli against the order of the Dy. Commr. allowing a share in the Pulbaun of the village to Gulab Bin and others

Case Karkun: No. 12589 Dt. 2-11-94 T.S.

The Dy. Commissioner is required to report, whether all the villagers in the case under notice have agreed to the appointment of the Patel according to the number of cattle owned by each. If they have agreed, there is no question, but if they have not, it has to be decided whether they should be compelled to agree to such an arrangement.

The rules issued in Govt. Res. of 16th July 1884 No. 2360 lay down

55

L.R. 163-94-7. (3

(8)

Read

Letters from the Dy/Commr of Tumkur Nos 557 & 888 of the 21st Dec & 18th April 1895, respectively reporting on the Petition of Hanumappa and others of Sanganahalli Gubbi Taluk in regard to the apportionment of the right of Hulbanni between them and certain other Raiyats of the village.

P ✓

R. 7146. 19.11.95.
P. 1285

Order thereon

The Petitioners Hanumappa and others of Sanganhalli appeal ~~against~~ against the order of the Dy/Commr, Tumkur district, allowing one Gollar Eva and others - Counter Petitioners to participate in the Hulbanni of that village and urge that the latter possess a large number of cattle, out of all proportion to the lands

lands they hold. From the above reports of the Dy Commr, it appears that the ~~latter~~ counter-Petitioners have been permitted to graze their cattle in the pasture lands of the Village, as they hold lands therein and have abodes therein for residence. The Petitioners, however, do not agree to participate the Shikami right in the Village with the counter Petitioners. Para 3 of Dy Comm's Report in No 55 of 14/4

2- The Govt observes, that the arrangement made in the case by the Dy Commr is correct and is in accordance with the Rules on the subject. If the Petitioners are unwilling to the apportionment made on the ground of the counter-Petitioners possessing more cattle and

That "the abolishment of the toll system legally by the village among the individual members of a society shall be effectual agreement between themselves + + "and if there cannot upon every meeting as to the appointment of the amount, or if at the said meeting there are different proposals such agreement or disagreement then, the point of the question in the village concerned shall be Left to the Lot"

The aim of the last method of reducing the tolls to settle these disputes among themselves, but at the time this should not be found to allow the village community to suffer unfairly because a few people do not give their consent to an equitable settlement. The Dyer is therefore required to report whether the said petitioners that the tolls be abolished by agreement or by the Lot or all the proposition to the said Road may hold

is true or not, and if true whether the villagers could not be told to purge their cattle in some portion of the Kandayam lands they hold if they could not come to an amicable arrangement among themselves.

Sgd: 13/2/45
for Chief Secy.

(commd.)

To

The Dy. Commissioner
Tinnevelly District

12/2

Not be fair to allow
the Kraals commonage
to suffer simply because
a few ~~one or two~~ Natives do not
give their consent to an
Equitable Settlement. The
Dy Comrs is therefore requested
to report whether the Complaint
of the Petitioners that the Coranna
Petitioners possess a larger
number of Cattle out of all
proportion to the Lands they
hold is true or not, & if true,
whether the Kraalmen could not
be told to graze their Cattle
in some proportion to the
Kraalmen Lands they
hold if they could not
come to an amicable
Arrangement among
themselves.

11/2/95

of Government to apportion the fullbaram lands according to the extent of the kandayam lands held in the villages. The total extent of the Kandayam lands in occupancy in the village in the current official year is 222 Acres and 2 cents and that of the unoccupied waste sold for pasturage is 62 Acres and 20 cents. The usual fullbaram amount thereon is Rupees 17-3-0, the four acres of occupied area there is an extent of A. R. P. 1-36-56 of unoccupied waste for grazing. At the rate the extent of unoccupied area to be assigned for the 20 Acres and 5 cents occupied by the counter-petitioners will be A. R. P. 58-2-6½ and the proportionate fullbaram amount thereon is Rupees 4-3-0. The area going to the petitioners would be A. R. P. 567-0-33½ bearing the proportionate fullbaram amount of Rupees 17-1-0.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner

and, therefore, object to
so in partnership with
them, the Manor should follow
the ordinary course
left, viz, to sell the grazing
and give it to the highest
bidder. There is no
reason why the Poor
should not share the
benefit arising from
the presence of a large
number of apparently
non-agricultural cattle
in the village.

19/11/96

For Secy

Cr:

18/11/96

To The Manor

Turnbull & Co Ltd

Gnd. Rent

(37)

Reference Book

(P.) Bdl. 6/1 R/163-94-8

1897

No. 2235

Name of Petitioner R. Ramaiyan Chetti

Village Sangamalai Chelliar Samm

Taluk Gudiyatham

District North Arcot

Date of Arzi 6-1-97

Date of Receipt 9-1-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosures Nil

ABSTRACT.

Reminder letter dated 6th September 1894 appealing against the decision of the Board and District Authorities in the matter of their Nattamai (Tenure) and subsequent reminder was also presented. Their case has not yet been settled. They have incurred immense loss but their calls have no place to appeal to.

Recommend that a date for hearing their case may be fixed and a final and favourable decision passed.

Bdl.
14/1/97

COURT FEE
ONE ANNA

L.R. 163-94-9

748

748

41

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]